

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 * * *

6 JOSEPH VALDEZ, individually and)
7 on behalf of all others similarly situated,)
8 Plaintiffs,)
9 vs.)
10 COX COMMUNICATIONS LAS)
11 VEGAS, INC., VIDEO INTERNET)
12 PHONE INSTALLS, INC., QUALITY)
13 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., SIERRA)
14 COMMUNICATIONS, CO.,)
15 Defendants.)
16)

2:09-CV-01797-PMP-RJJ

17 **ORDER**

18 The Court having read and considered Plaintiff's Motion to Strike and
19 Restrain Improper Correspondence from Defendant Cox Communications Las
20 Vegas, Inc. (Doc. #206), Defendant Cox Communications' Response in Opposition
21 thereto (Doc. #210) and Plaintiff's Reply (Doc. #211), and good cause appearing,

22 **IT IS ORDERED that** Plaintiff's Motion to Strike and Restrain Improper
23 Correspondence from Defendant Cox Communications Las Vegas, Inc. (Doc. #206)
24 is **DENIED**.

25 DATED: June 20, 2011.

26



PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge